

Written Public Comments Submitted for CRC Public Hearing No. 3 (12/1/2021)

Agenda Item	Name	Position	Comments	Comments Received	Attachment
4.a.	Anthony Samad	Favor		11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	DeWayne Davis	Other		11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Felissa Mannella	Other	San Fernando valley shouldn't be part of Redondo Bach jurisdiction. Suggest a tighter weave. Hahn has been a blessing for RBch. How can we keep her?	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Flissa Mannella	Oppose	Keep RB in District 4	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Heather D DeCristo	Favor	I am in favor of B-1	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Karen L DiBiase	Other	<p>Dear LA City Redistricting Commission,</p> <p>I attended the zoom meeting on 11-22-2021 concerning redistricting and have comments related to redistricting as it affects my community of Woodland Hills, withing the San Fernando Valley.</p> <p>Attached are my comments related to Map B-1 and the process of keeping the San Fernando Valley as one community and district.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Karen DiBiase Resident of Woodland Hills for 30+ years. 20525 Aetna St. Woodland Hills, CA 91367 818-429-5483</p>	11/30/2021	n/a
4.a.	Matthew Wong	Other	We need to keep the SGV cities together. The API community also should have the opportunity to elect one of their own to the Board	11/30/2021	n/a

			of Supervisors. I would prefer that the Board of Supervisors be expanded to at least 10 in order to reflect the diverse populations of LA County.		
4.a.	Norma D Citron	Oppose	The city of Calabasas is a small community of 23,000. It is imperative that our community voice not be separated by an arbitrary dividing line that literally divides our voice. Please map our city as one voice. Our community is best served by one dedicated person rather than divided.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Briana Leon	Favor		11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Matthew Wong	Oppose	It splits Temple City apart from Arcadia.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Patrice Fisher	Oppose	I strongly oppose Option B-2	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION B-2	Robin Garfield	Oppose	Please keep option B1 and do not redistrict.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Anthony Samad	Favor	Keep the Second District in its present boundaries, which keeps historical communities of South LA together and provide a voice and opportunity for African Americans to elect a supervisor of their choice.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Daryl Sweeney	Favor		11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Matthew Wong	Favor	Either maps 65 or 66 would be ideal. Temple City should not be split into two districts.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Nick Franchino	Favor	You should create a list of cities in each proposed district. Simple table showing them, which ones wholly contained, and which ones split (if any others split besides the City of LA). Like this item on your website: file.lacounty.gov/SDSInter/lac/1043530_09-10CitiesAlpha.pdf And for unincorporated communities, like this item on your website: file.lacounty.gov/SDSInter/lac/1043534_UnincorpAlphaWeb.pdf	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Patrice Fisher	Favor	I urge the support of Option F-1	11/30/2021	n/a

OPTION F-1	Teri GREEN	Oppose	I support Map B-1 and opposed Map F	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION F-1	Jeff Boynton / Jorge Orozco	Favor	-	11/29/2021	View attachment
OPTION G	Anthony Samad	Other	We are in favor of Option G, with revisions of leaving Carson and CSUDH in the 2nd District and Yes on Map G -with modifications - keep Carson in District 2. Do not separate CSUDH from District 2 due to its connection and recruitment from South LA, Compton, and other communities in District 2; Carson historically connected to South LA and Gardena in terms of the African American Communities. Adding LAX allows Inglewood and other South LA communities impacted in D2 to now have a voice about the impacts on quality of life - noise and other issues related to being in the flight paths.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Daryl Sweeney	Favor	Keep Carson in District 2 as well as CSUDH.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Henry Fung	Oppose	<p>Unfortunately I have to reject the changes made by Commissioner Mary Kenney on map 66 (map G) at Monday night's meeting. The change of swapping the Palos Verdes Peninsula and Carson between SD 2 and SD 4 will increase the White CVAP population to within one percentage point of the Black CVAP population. Given demographic trends and historic Black-White racially polarized voting, that could effectively deny Black residents in SD 2 the ability to elect candidates of their choice.</p> <p>If the goal is to preserve the ability of Blacks to elect a candidate of their choice, original F-1 is the best option. It preserves a relatively large Black CVAP population but more importantly also creates a separation between the Black and White CVAP percentages so that Whites can not use block voting to votes against Blacks. While this may not have been proven to occur in Los Angeles County, it has happened nationally in many jurisdictions. The caveat is that it spreads out the San Fernando Valley and attaches them to the South Bay which many find dissatisfactory.</p>	11/30/2021	n/a

OPTION G	Matthew Wong	Other	I am not sure which option this is.	11/30/2021	n/a
OPTION G	Patrice Fisher	Other	In favor ONLY WITH MODIFICATIONS: Keep California State University in District 2 and include the Los Angeles International Airport (LAX) in District 2. Residents most impacted by the airport should have representation to protect their daily quality of life, voice environmental concerns, and lookout for their longterm health concerns.	11/30/2021	n/a
-	Chris Rowe	-	<p>City Watch LA Article</p> <p>Dear Honorable Commissioners and employees, I am not a member of a non-profit that is doing outreach on Redistricting. I am a former member of the West Hills Neighborhood Council as you have heard many times, and I work with three local Neighborhood Councils. CityWatchLA is my only way to do outreach to the broader community of Los Angeles. Many of the people that write for CityWatch are members of Neighborhood Councils; sometimes I see posts by current or former LA City Council members. I do hope that my article will encourage more people to participate. And I hope that you will read it, and that you will listen to what I am asking for and why I am asking for a particular change in your maps. I just do not understand why when so many people were on the LA City Redistricting calls - as many as 500? - that we are not hearing from that same number of people, and a diverse group. I reached out to EmpowerLA this past week. They are also known as the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment aka: DONE. I asked why they only had noticed the people that receive their email blast - one went out on November 23rd, 2021, to those who are subscribed just as I am subscribed to your emails. Today, the representative from EmpowerLA stated that she had posted your two upcoming meetings on Social Media - FACEBOOK,</p>	11/29/2021	n/a

			<p>Twitter, and I did see that she had posted it on NEXTDOOR. But she did not post tonight's meeting. I just posted that to that string within about the last half an hour.</p> <p>I know that you are unlikely to read this prior to tonight's meeting, but I do hope you will prior to Wednesday's meeting.</p> <p>I want to bring another issue to you regarding the San Fernando Valley please. One person in your documents asked you to keep Toluca Lake whole with Burbank. I believe that Toluca Lake - an LA City - should be a part of the San Fernando Valley District 3 that I am asking for with its contiguous neighbors of North Hollywood, Valley Glen, Studio City, Sherman Oaks, and the Universal City area. I also believe that Burbank should be a part of that San Fernando Valley District as my article mentions due to the airport flight paths. Last night, I called a friend who is a member of the Armenian community. I asked her if she could define the Armenian community as it was defined for the LA City Redistricting purposes. I did find in their Report to the City, a letter to the LA City Council with the communities named that included Burbank, North Hollywood, Valley Glen, etc. I would like that Community of Interest (COI) to be a part of the San Fernando Valley as it is in the LA City Council with Councilmember Paul Krekorian currently representing his District.</p> <p>Please keep the San Fernando Valley whole with the Las Virgenes COI.</p> <p>Please take out the "Flats" of Beverly Hills for population. Please do not include Santa Monica with the San Fernando Valley.</p> <p>Please think in terms of the high fire area hillsides. The Air Quality Management District has "No Burn Day" alerts going out right now.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Respectfully, Chris Rowe West Hills 91307</p>		
--	--	--	---	--	--

-	Stuart Waldman	-	<p>Attached is the San Fernando Valley shape file. Also here is a link to a report that VICA commissioned on the San Fernando Valley from the CSUN Geography Department.</p> <p>Stuart Waldman, Esq. President Valley Industry & Commerce Association (VICA)</p>	11/30/2021	View attachment
-	Zev Yaroslavsky	-	<p>I have a suggestion regarding the cut in the proposed 3rd district in map G. Take the area west of Topanga Blvd., north of Roscoe, east of the Ventura County line, up to the Map G proposed boundary between the 3rd and the 5th. The area I described is in the 3rd in Map G. Take that area, put it in the 5th, return Sylmar to the 3rd, and see if that resolves your deviation issue. I think it will be close. Most of the area I described is currently in the 5th, so there would be no change of districts either there or in Sylmar.</p> <p>By addressing the deviation issue in this way, Sylmar remains in the 3rd district where it currently is, and the Southwestern part of Chatsworth and its environs remains in the 5th, where it is now. This will keep communities more in tact, and will reduce the number of people in those areas that will find themselves in new supervisorial districts.</p> <p>I have no dog in this fight, but what I'm proposing is an elegant solution that minimizes controversy.</p> <p>Zev Yaroslavsky</p>	11/30/2021	n/a